

## RESEARCH LETTER

10.1002/2016GL069457

## Key Points:

- Tri-stereo photogrammetry at Fogo increases point cloud density by a factor of 6.5 and reduces area with no height measurements by 43%
- Estimated accuracy of heights in generated tri-stereo Pleiades-1 DEM is  $<0.51$  m
- Lava flow volume and mean output rate of 2014–2015 Fogo eruption are  $45.83 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  and  $6.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$

## Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

## Correspondence to:

M. Bagnardi,  
M.Bagnardi@leeds.ac.uk

## Citation:

Bagnardi, M., P. J. González, and A. Hooper (2016), High-resolution digital elevation model from tri-stereo Pleiades-1 satellite imagery for lava flow volume estimates at Fogo Volcano, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 6267–6275, doi:10.1002/2016GL069457.

Received 4 MAY 2016

Accepted 9 JUN 2016

Accepted article online 10 JUN 2016

Published online 30 JUN 2016

©2016. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# High-resolution digital elevation model from tri-stereo Pleiades-1 satellite imagery for lava flow volume estimates at Fogo Volcano

Marco Bagnardi<sup>1</sup>, Pablo J. González<sup>1,2</sup>, and Andrew Hooper<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>COMET, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, <sup>2</sup>Now at School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK


**Abstract** Resolving changes in topography through time using accurate high-resolution digital elevation models (DEMs) is key to understanding active volcanic processes. For the first time in a volcanic environment, we utilize very high-resolution tri-stereo optical imagery acquired by the Pleiades-1 satellite constellation and generate a 1 m resolution DEM of Fogo Volcano, Cape Verde—the most active volcano in the Eastern Atlantic region. Point cloud density is increased by a factor of 6.5 compared to conventional stereo imagery, and the number of 1 m<sup>2</sup> pixels with no height measurements is reduced by 43%. We use the DEM to quantify topographic changes associated with the 2014–2015 eruption at Fogo. Height differences between the post-eruptive Pleiades-1 DEM and the pre-eruptive topography from TanDEM-X give a lava flow volume of  $45.83 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ , emplaced over an area of  $4.8 \text{ km}^2$  at a mean rate of  $6.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

## 1. Introduction

Volcanic activity is among the fastest processes causing changes to the Earth's surface, whether new volumes are added during effusive eruptions or catastrophically removed by explosive events and triggered landslides. Precise, quantitative analyses of topographic changes associated with volcanic eruptions provide the means to infer key parameters for the assessment of hazards associated with the volcanic activity (e.g., magma discharge rate in effusive events) [Pinel *et al.*, 2014]. The topographic approach, which constrains the changes in topography by differentiating pre-eruptive, co-eruptive, and post-eruptive digital elevation models (DEMs) [Stevens *et al.*, 1999], can nowadays be considered the most suitable method to accurately quantify the volume of new volcanic deposits, especially when data are acquired by spaceborne Earth Observation (EO) platforms [e.g., Lu *et al.*, 2003; Rowland *et al.*, 2003; Bignami *et al.*, 2013; Poland, 2014; Albino *et al.*, 2015; Kubanek *et al.*, 2015; Martino *et al.*, 2015]. These data sets provide densely spaced measurements of heights at relatively high temporal frequency (hours to days, if combined) and meter level vertical accuracy, without the need for direct field measurements. The cost of spaceborne EO data is also far lower than that of dedicated airborne or ground-based campaigns (e.g., Light Detection And Ranging, LiDAR).

In this study, we evaluate the use of very high resolution (VHR) *tri-stereo* optical imagery from the Pleiades-1 satellite constellation for volcanological applications. The data set is 100% cloud free and was acquired after the 2014–2015 eruption at Fogo Volcano, Cape Verde. The eruption, the tenth since 1761 [Amelung and Day, 2002], lasted 78 days (23 November 2014 to 8 February 2015) and emplaced highly destructive lava flows in the Chã das Caldeiras (Figure 1) [González *et al.*, 2015; Cappello *et al.*, 2016; Richter *et al.*, 2016]. We apply photogrammetric techniques to the Pleiades-1 tri-stereo data set and generate a 1 m resolution post-eruptive DEM of the summit and eastern flank of Fogo.

Introduced with the Pleiades mission, the stereoscopic triplet is a novel and enhanced acquisition mode compared to that of previous optical sensors (e.g., Quickbird, IKONOS). The triplet is composed of three nearly simultaneously acquired images, one backward looking, one forward looking, plus a third near-nadir image [Gleyzes *et al.*, 2012]. This configuration, in particular the use of the near-nadir image, allows a better retrieval of heights over terrains where the performance of classic photogrammetry with forward backward looking stereo pairs may be limited (e.g., high roughness, steep slopes, and shadows). The Pleiades-1 mission is programmed to continue for several years [Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, 2016], and the tri-stereo (or multistereo) acquisition mode is set to become a common feature in future satellite missions. Pleiades-1 tri-stereo imagery has recently proven to be valuable in estimating submeter to meter scale elevation changes associated with natural phenomena, such as earthquakes [Zhou *et al.*, 2015] and glaciers



**Figure 1.** Shaded relief map of Fogo Island, Cape Verde (elevation from the TanDEM-X DEM). The red dashed line marks the area covered by the Pleiades-1 tri-stereo imagery,  $\sim 100 \text{ km}^2$ . The yellow filled polygon shows the extent of the 2014–2015 lava flow, mapped using Pleiades-1 multispectral imagery. Blue dots and numbers mark the location of differential GPS ground control points (GCPs). GCPs are located in areas that were not covered by the lava flow. The black dashed rectangle marks the extent of Figure 2. The inset shows the locations of Fogo Island and the Cape Verde archipelago with respect to Africa.

[Berthier *et al.*, 2014]. Fogo Volcano represents an optimal location for the assessment of the use of Pleiades-1 tri-stereo imagery to study volcanic landforms and processes. The volcanic edifice is characterized by varying slopes ( $0^\circ$  to  $>50^\circ$ ) and variable land coverage, factors that could influence the accuracy or prevent the retrieval of heights in a natural environment.

From the Pleiades-1 post-eruption topography we subtract heights from a pre-eruption DEM, obtained using spaceborne synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data from the TanDEM-X mission. Such differencing provides the means to estimate the volume of the 2014–2015 lava flow with an unprecedented accuracy for Fogo Volcano [e.g., Richter *et al.*, 2016]. The volume estimate allows us to constrain the mean output rate of the 2014–2015 eruption and infer a minimum magma supply rate since the last eruption. We also precisely map the lava flow areal extent utilizing Pleiades-1 orthorectified VHR multispectral images. Finally, using SAR data acquired by the Sentinel-1a satellite, we apply SAR interferometry (e.g., InSAR) to measure the lava flow subsidence due to cooling and contraction in the months after its emplacement and compare this to the measured lava flow thickness.

## 2. Data Processing and Analysis

We processed the pre-eruption TanDEM-X DEM and the Sentinel-1 InSAR data using standard methods and algorithms described in the supporting information (Texts S1 and S2).

### 2.1. Pleiades-1 Tri-Stereo Optical Imagery and Processing

The Pleiades-1 system consists of a constellation of two satellites for VHR panchromatic (PA) and multispectral (XS) optical observation of the Earth's surface. The first satellite, Pleiades 1A (PHR 1A) was launched in December 2011, while the second satellite, Pleiades 1B (PHR 1B) was launched a year later, in December 2012. Both satellites, part of the French-Italian Optical and Radar Federated Earth Observation program for civilian and defense uses, fly in Sun-synchronous orbits with  $98.2^\circ$  inclination and an offset of  $180^\circ$  from each

other, which allows a minimum revisit time of 24 h. The PA and XS images are acquired simultaneously at a nominal resolution of 0.5 m and 2 m, respectively [de Lussy *et al.*, 2012]. The Pleiades system is the first of its kind capable of acquiring three or more nearly synchronous images of the same area with a stereo angle varying between  $\sim 6^\circ$  and  $\sim 28^\circ$ .

After the end of the 2014–2015 eruption at Fogo Volcano, we tasked the acquisition of Pleiades-1 tri-stereo optical imagery to study the new topography. PHR 1A acquired a set of images on 20 June 2015, with a total areal coverage of  $\sim 100 \text{ km}^2$  (red outline in Figure 1). Along-track incidence angles of the three images are  $-11.3^\circ$ ,  $1.0^\circ$ , and  $11.2^\circ$  for the forward (F), near-nadir (N), and backward (B) viewing geometries, respectively, while the across-track angle varies between  $-2^\circ$  and  $3^\circ$ .

We processed the Pleiades-1 tri-stereo data using the ERDAS IMAGINE Photogrammetry toolbox. We tested different combinations of parameters for the photogrammetric processing and opted for those that maximized the number of matched points. We first identified  $\sim 120$  tie points over the three PA images to calculate shift parameters between images at each tie point, obtaining an overall root-mean-square error of less than 0.1 pixels ( $<0.05 \text{ m}$ ). IMAGINE Photogrammetry toolbox implements a hierarchical cross-correlation matching algorithm for the automatic DEM extraction. An image pyramid was created with nine levels of detail. After a rigid shift of the images, we computed the normalized cross-correlation index with a window size of  $9 \times 9$  pixels, a minimum correlation coefficient of 0.2 for the highest pyramid level and a maximum coefficient of 0.7 for the last pyramid. The photogrammetric algorithm calculates point clouds ( $X, Y, Z$ ) from each image pair (F-B, F-N, and N-B), for the tri-stereo triplet (F-N-B), and from the merging of the four data sets. The merged point cloud was then filtered using a median filter with a cell size of 1 m and gridded to a spatial resolution of  $1 \times 1 \text{ m}^2$  pixel size using a continuous curvature spline in tension (tension factor 0.75 [Smith and Wessel, 1990]).

## 2.2. Pleiades-1 Point Clouds


The tri-stereo triplet (F-N-B) provides the largest point cloud ( $\sim 110.5$  million points). Stereo pairs using the near-nadir image (N) produce point clouds with  $\sim 96.2$  (N-B) and  $\sim 91.8$  (F-N) million points, corresponding to 13% and 18% fewer points, respectively, than the tri-stereo combination. Finally, the classic stereo-pair (F-B) produces a point cloud with  $\sim 54.3$  million points, 51% less than the tri-stereo triplet. When averaged over the entire image, we obtained mean point densities of 1.11, 0.96, 0.91, and 0.54 points/ $\text{m}^2$  for the F-N-B, N-B, F-N, and F-B combinations, respectively. By merging the four point clouds, we obtain a data set with  $\sim 352.2$  million points and a mean point density  $\sim 6.5$  times larger ( $3.52 \text{ points/m}^2$ ) than that from the classic F-B stereo pair.

Assuming that the points in a point cloud are independent, the higher their density, the more robust the height estimate for a given pixel in the derived DEM. Density is variable; therefore, the mean density is not representative of the accuracy of the estimated heights. In Figures 2a–2d we show a comparison of the density of points for each combination of images, measured across a  $10 \times 10 \text{ m}^2$  regular grid. It can be clearly seen that point cloud density is not homogenous across the imaged area. To better understand this distribution, we compare the point cloud density maps with the surface slope (Figure 2e) and the geological map of the same area (Figure 2f). The surface slope does not directly correlate with point cloud density (correlation coefficient:  $<0.1$ ), and variable distribution can be observed in the flat areas as well as on the steep slopes. On the other hand, there is a correlation between point cloud density and ground cover. In decreasing order of density are areas covered by lava flows, the summit of Pico do Fogo where lava and scoria are present, cinder cones, and, lastly, ash-covered regions (e.g., the mid/lower portion of Pico do Fogo). These last two land types are characterized by loose, fine, granular material at repose angle, which appear largely homogeneous and featureless to an optical sensor, making cross correlation less successful. In this case the surface slope may have an indirect effect by controlling the stability and remobilization of the loose material, resulting in featureless surfaces. The use of the triplet (F-N-B) or the merged data set, however, reduces the number of  $1 \times 1 \text{ m}^2$  pixels with no measurements by 27% and 43%, respectively, when compared to classic stereo (F-B).

## 2.3. DEM Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

At the time of writing, no other high-accuracy DEMs of Fogo are publicly available to assess the accuracy (closeness to the true value) of the heights and their horizontal position in the Pleiades-1 DEM. We therefore used differential GPS solutions for the  $X, Y, Z$  position of 19 ground control points (GCPs, Figure 1) measured in June 2015. The horizontal accuracy was estimated from offsets between the  $X, Y$  coordinates of the GCPs






**Figure 2.** Pleiades-1 point cloud density for (a) the F-B and (b) N-B stereo pairs, (c) the F-N-B triplet, and (d) the merged data set. The (e) terrain slope and (f) geological map of the same region (modified after List *et al.* [2006]) are shown for comparison.

and their clearly visible positions in a pansharpened image, formed using the near-nadir PA/XS images and orthorectified using the DEM. The mean offsets are  $-7.6$  m and  $-1.3$  m in the east and north directions, respectively (standard deviations,  $0.4$  m and  $0.3$  m), lower than the nominal absolute location accuracy of PHR 1A imagery ( $8.5$  m [Oh and Lee, 2014]). The estimated horizontal shift was then applied to the Pleiades-1 DEM, and the vertical accuracy was estimated at the 19 GCPs. The same assessment was also performed, for completeness, on the  $5$  m resolution preeruptive TanDEM-X DEM and other two lower resolution DEMs of Fogo (the  $1$  arc second resolution Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission, SRTM, and the  $30$  m resolution Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation Map, GDEM) (see Figure S1 in supporting information). The standard deviation of heights in the Pleiades-1 DEM when compared to GPS is  $0.51$  m, similar to that reported by Zhou *et al.* [2015] from the comparison of a Pleiades-1 DEM with an airborne LiDAR DEM. The other three data sets, TanDEM-X, SRTM, and ASTER-GDEM have standard deviations of  $1.12$  m,  $3.64$  m, and  $5.74$  m, respectively (see Table S3 in the supporting information). Part of the contribution to all standard deviations comes from errors in the GPS data, but the analysis demonstrates that the higher resolution DEMs are more accurate, and that Pleiades 1 has a standard deviation lower by a factor of at least 2 than TanDEM-X and at least 7 than SRTM and ASTER.

To accurately constrain the 2014–2015 lava flow volume, the relative difference in heights between the preeruptive TanDEM-X and the posteruptive Pleiades-1 DEMs must be adjusted [e.g., Poland, 2014]. To accomplish this, we selected three  $600 \times 600$  m<sup>2</sup> control areas located near the lava flow that should not have had any change in topography between the acquisitions of the two data sets (Figure 3a). We oversampled the TanDEM-X  $5$  m resolution data set to the resolution of the Pleiades-1 DEM ( $1$  m). The mean values in the control areas are  $-3.03$ ,  $-3.05$ , and  $-3.01$  m, while standard deviations are  $0.76$ ,  $0.73$ , and  $0.48$  m. We therefore added the weighted mean value of  $3.03$  m to the Pleiades-1 DEM before differencing the two DEMs. The mean values in the control areas after the adjustment are  $0.00$ ,  $-0.02$ , and  $0.01$  m, and the standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\Delta z}$ , of all three areas is  $0.67$  m (Figure 3b). Height differences in the control areas have



**Figure 3.** (a) Thickness map of the 2014–2015 lava flow obtained as the difference between the preeruptive TanDEM-X and the posteruptive Pleiades-1 DEMs. The lava flow thickness map is overlaid onto a shaded elevation map derived from the Pleiades-1 DEM. (b) Elevation differences between the two DEMs (after adjustment) in three control areas, marked by  $600 \times 600 \text{ m}^2$  squares in Figure 3a. (c) Profiles across the 2014–2015 lava flow, showing in black the preeruptive and in red the posteruptive topography. Profile traces are marked with dotted black lines in Figure 3a.

a Gaussian distribution, but spatial correlation exists between neighboring pixels. We therefore estimated the covariance as function of distance and approximated it as a 1-D exponential function:

$$\text{Cov} = a \exp(b \cdot r) \text{ when } r \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

with  $a = 0.2703$ ,  $b = -0.2145$ , and  $r$  is the distance between pixels in meters. With this function, the covariance reduces to approximately zero at a distance of  $\sim 15 \text{ m}$ .

### 3. The 2014–2015 Lava Flow

#### 3.1. Area and Volume Estimates


The extent of the 2014–2015 lava flow was calculated by digitizing the flow perimeter on orthorectified VHR XS images. Using geographic information system software (QGIS, <http://www.qgis.org>) we calculated that, at the end of the eruption, the flow covered a surface of  $4.8 \text{ km}^2$ .

A mask derived from the lava flow perimeter was applied to the difference between the preeruptive and posteruptive DEMs to constrain the lava flow volume (Figure 3a). The sum of the values of height changes within the lava flow perimeter, multiplied by the area of a single pixel ( $1 \text{ m}^2$ ) provides the estimate of the total volume [e.g., Favalli et al., 2010]. We estimated the error variance of the volume calculation through propagation of errors

$$\sigma_V^2 = A^2 \sum_i \sum_j C_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  is the area of a pixel and  $C_{ij}$  is the covariance between the height error at pixel  $i$  and pixel  $j$ . In practice we assumed that the covariance between all pixels  $> 15 \text{ m}$  apart was zero; using equation (2), we calculated the covariance,  $C_r$ , between a single pixel and the  $n$  pixels up to  $15 \text{ m}$  from it. Assuming all pixels are  $> 15 \text{ m}$  from the lava edge gives

$$\sigma_V^2 = A^2 N \left( \sigma_{\Delta z}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^n C_r \right) \quad (3)$$



**Figure 4.** Comparison between (a) lava flow thickness and (b) flow subsidence from cooling, contraction, and compaction of the substrate (displacements from InSAR data, see supporting information). The green and light brown polygons (dotted lines where covered by the 2014–2015 lava flow) show the distribution of highly compactable material. (c) Comparison between lava flow thickness and subsidence for pixels within the flow perimeter. Pixels located in areas with highly compactable substrate are marked in brown and green.

where is  $N$  the total number of pixels within the flow perimeter. The contribution to the total variance from pixels  $<15$  m from the edge is thus slightly exaggerated, but this effect is small.

Based on the method and the assumptions described above, we estimate that the 2014–2015 lava flow at Fogo Volcano had a total volume of  $45.83 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  as of 20 June 2015. Note that this ignores any subsidence of the substrate due to elastic or inelastic processes. A maximum thickness of  $>55$  m was emplaced on the NW side of the eruptive fissure, where a scoria/cinder cone formed during the eruption. The lava flow reached its maximum thickness  $\sim 650$  m SW of the emission point. A thickness of  $\sim 26$  m is also measured more than 2500 m away from the fissure, in an area of preeruptive topographic low, W of the town of Portela (Figure 3a, profile A–A' in Figure 3c) [e.g., Richter *et al.*, 2016].

### 3.2. Comparison Between Lava Flow Thickness and Subsidence

A comparison between the lava flow thickness estimate and the cumulative lava flow subsidence from cooling and contraction, measured using InSAR data spanning  $\sim 9$  months after the end of the eruption (May 2015 to March 2016), shows a clear spatial correlation (Figures 4a and 4b). In fact, the maximum subsidence is recorded in those areas where lava flow thickness is also maximum. Other smaller regions, however, show large cumulative subsidence and deviate from the overall linear correlation between thickness and subsidence (Figure 4c). The larger subsidence in these areas is most likely related to the highly



compactable nature of the substrate onto which the lava flow was emplaced (e.g., Pico do Fogo ash under the southern branch and talus at the terminal part of the western branch of the flow or west of the road in the northern branch; Figures 4b and 4c). The correlation between lava flow thickness and subsidence likely explains the relationship between thickness and phase decorrelation often observed in InSAR imagery [e.g., Dietterich *et al.*, 2012; Albino *et al.*, 2015].

#### 4. Discussion

The use of VHR Pleiades-1 imagery and radar data from TanDEM-X has allowed an accurate estimate of the areal extent and volume of the 2014–2015 lava flow at Fogo Volcano. The level of accuracy of our estimate is comparable, or higher, to that achieved by the most recent studies at other volcanoes that used data from spaceborne sensors [e.g., Poland, 2014; Albino *et al.*, 2015; Kubanek *et al.*, 2015]. The areal extent ( $4.8 \text{ km}^2$ ) is  $0.6 \text{ km}^2$  smaller than that calculated by Cappello *et al.* [2016] using Landsat-8 OLI imagery but matches very well that calculated by Richter *et al.* [2016] using coherence maps of TerraSAR-X InSAR pairs ( $4.85 \text{ km}^2$ ). Similarly, our volume estimate ( $45.83 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) agrees very well with estimates by Richter *et al.* [2016] based on differential high-resolution DEMs from terrestrial LiDAR, structure from motion, and photogrammetry ( $44 \pm 5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ). Our lava flow volume estimate is also in very good agreement with that inferred from sulfur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ ) measurements throughout the entire duration of the eruption ( $46\text{--}55 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) [Barrancos *et al.*, 2015].

The erupted volume during the 2014–2015 eruption is very similar to that emplaced in the previous eruption at Fogo in 1995 ( $\sim 46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  [Amelung and Day, 2002]) and approximately half of that erupted in 1951 ( $\sim 110 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  [Cappello *et al.*, 2016]). The eruption lasted 78 days, and by dividing the total erupted volume by the duration of the eruption we can infer the bulk mean output rate ( $\text{MOR}_{\text{BULK}}$ ) [e.g., Harris *et al.*, 2007] to be equal to  $\sim 588,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  or  $\sim 6.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The  $\text{MOR}_{\text{BULK}}$ , however, includes vesicles and gaps between lava blocks. The dense rock equivalent MOR ( $\text{MOR}_{\text{DRE}}$ ) can be determined if the vesicularity is known, but this parameter is not constrained in the case of the most recent lava flow at Fogo. We consider therefore an average vesicularity for basaltic aa lava flows of 25% [e.g., Wolfe *et al.*, 1987; Poland, 2014] and adjust the total volume to be  $\sim 34.37 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ . The  $\text{MOR}_{\text{DRE}}$  is therefore  $\sim 441,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  or  $\sim 5.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This value is the mean discharge rate throughout the entire eruption. HOTSAT thermal sensors [Cappello *et al.*, 2016] and  $\text{SO}_2$  emissions [Barrancos *et al.*, 2015] indicate that the discharge rate was much higher ( $> 25 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) during the initial phase of the eruption.

By adding the erupted volume to that of the intrusion that fed the eruption we can also estimate the minimum magma supply rate since the last eruption, on the assumption that all the magma supplied since the previous eruption in 1995 was either intruded or erupted in 2014–2015. González *et al.* [2015] estimated that the total volume of the intrusion was  $\sim 3 \pm 2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ . Adding this to the DRE lava flow volume gives  $37.4 \pm 2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of magma. Although magma accumulation at depths greater than 15 km may go undetected by geodetic measurements [Amelung and Day, 2002], no significant deformation related to magma accumulation/withdrawal from shallow reservoirs has been recorded during, or between, the two most recent eruptions [González *et al.*, 2015]. By dividing the supplied volume by 19.5 years (time interval between the two eruptions) we obtain a minimum magma supply rate of  $1.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  or  $0.06 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Although speculative, this number is similar to that inferred by Amelung and Day [2002] over 337 years ( $> 1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). This rate of magma supply is 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of Kilauea, Hawaii ( $3\text{--}4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [Poland, 2014]) and  $\sim 10\%$  of that of all Galápagos volcanoes ( $\sim 0.6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [Bagnardi, 2014]), both of which are also related to hot spot ocean island volcanism.

#### 5. Conclusions

We demonstrate that Pleiades-1 tri-stereo imagery can be successfully used to derive high-resolution DEMs with submeter vertical accuracy to study volcanic processes. Its performance is best over lava flows and lower in featureless regions covered with loose granular deposits (e.g., ash and cinder). When compared with the classic stereo approach, the use of tri-stereo imagery highly enhances the ability of photogrammetric techniques to estimate heights through increasing the point cloud density—by a factor of 6.5 in the example of Fogo Volcano—and by reducing the number of  $1 \text{ m}^2$  pixels with no measurements (by 43% at Fogo).

Tri-stereo (or multistereo imagery) is likely to become a common feature in future optical satellite missions, and its application to volcanic studies will provide the means to study topographic changes and volcanic features at high resolution.

By subtracting from the Pleiades-1 posteruptive DEM, the preeruptive topography measured using TanDEM-X radar data, we estimated the volume of the 2014–2015 lava flow at Fogo Volcano to be  $45.83 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  ( $34.37 \pm 0.04 \text{ m}^3$ , when converted to DRE), emplaced over an area of  $4.8 \text{ km}^2$  at a mean rate of  $6.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  ( $\text{MOR}_{\text{DRE}}: 5.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). Assuming no long-term crustal magma storage, this implies a minimum magma supply rate to Fogo of  $0.06 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  between 1995 and 2014, which, although speculative, is much lower than that measured at other ocean island volcanoes (e.g., Hawaii and Galápagos).

## Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the University of Leeds-Climate and Geohazard Services and by the NERC projects Centre for the Observation and Modelling of Earthquakes, Volcanoes and Tectonics (COMET), and Looking inside Continents from Space (LiCS, NE/K011006/1). M.B. and A.H. were also supported by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme grant 308377 (Project FUTUREVOLC). Pleiades-1 tri-stereo imagery was purchased from Airbus Defence and Space Ltd (Proposal SDS/15-056/SW) under a nonexclusive license for academic purpose only. TanDEM-X data were provided by the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR) through proposal XT1\_GEOL0432. Sentinel-1 interferograms were derived from Copernicus SAR data obtained at <https://schihub.copernicus.eu>. We would like to thank Lourenco Francisco Fernandes (Nezito), Bruno Faria, Sonia Silva, and Paulo Teixeira for field support during the GPS campaign and Austin Elliott, John Elliott, and the participants to the 2016 COMET Topography Workshop for insightful discussions on the Pleiades photogrammetric processing. Useful comments from Nicole Richter, Laura Gregory, and constructive reviews from Mike Poland and an anonymous reviewer greatly improved the manuscript.

## References

- Albino, F., B. Smets, N. d'Oreye, and F. Kervyn (2015), High-resolution TanDEM-X DEM: An accurate method to estimate lava flow volumes at Nyamulagira Volcano (D. R. Congo), *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, *120*, 4189–4207, doi:10.1002/2015JB011988.
- Amelung, F., and S. Day (2002), InSAR observations of the 1995 Fogo, Cape Verde, eruption: Implications for the effects of collapse events upon island volcanoes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *29*(12), 1606, doi:10.1029/2001GL013760.
- Bagnardi, M. (2014), Dynamics of magma supply, storage, and migration at basaltic volcanoes: Geophysical studies of the Galápagos and Hawaiian volcanoes, PhD Dissertation, 91 pp., Univ. Miami, Coral Gables, Fla.
- Barrancos, J., et al. (2015), Sulphur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ ) emissions during the 2014–15 Fogo eruption, Cape Verde. In proceedings: Fogo eruption 2014–2015 International Conference/Erupção do Vulcão do Fogo 2014–2015 Conferência Internacional. 20–26/11/2015, Santiago & Fogo Islands, Cape Verde.
- Berthier, E., et al. (2014), Glacier topography and elevation changes derived from Pleiades sub-meter stereo images, *Cryosphere*, *8*(6), 2275–2291.
- Bignami, C., J. Ruch, M. Chini, M. Neri, M. F. Buongiorno, S. Hidayati, D. S. Sayudi, and Surono (2013), Pyroclastic density current volume estimation after the 2010 Merapi volcano eruption using X-band SAR, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *261*, 236–243, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2013.03.023.
- Cappello, A., G. Ganci, S. Calvari, N. M. Pérez, P. A. Hernández, S. V. Silva, J. Cabral, and C. Del Negro (2016), Lava flow hazard modeling during the 2014–2015 Fogo eruption, Cape Verde, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, *121*, 2290–2303, doi:10.1002/2015JB012666.
- Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (2016), Pleiades mission. [Available at <https://Pleiades.cnes.fr/en/PLEIADES/index.htm>, Last access 2016-03-31.]
- de Lussy, F., D. Greslou, C. Dechoz, V. Amberg, J. M. Delvit, L. Lebegue, G. Blanchet, and S. Fourest (2012), Pleiades HR in flight geometrical calibration: Location and mapping of the focal plane, ISPRS International Archives of the Photogrammetry, *Remote Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci.*, *39*, 519–523.
- Dietterich, H. R., M. P. Poland, D. A. Schmidt, K. V. Cashman, D. R. Sherrod, and A. T. Espinosa (2012), Tracking lava flow emplacement on the east rift zone of Kilauea, Hawai'i, with synthetic aperture radar coherence, *Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.*, *13*(Q05001), doi:10.1029/2011GC004016.
- Favalli, M., A. Fornaciai, F. Mazzarini, A. Harris, M. Neri, B. Behncke, M. T. Pareschi, S. Tarquini, and E. Boschi (2010), Evolution of an active lava flow field using a multitemporal LIDAR acquisition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*, B11203, doi:10.1029/2010JB007463.
- Gleyzes, M. A., L. Perret, and P. Kubik (2012), Pleiades system architecture and main performances. International Archives of the Photogrammetry, *Remote Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci.*, *39*, 81.
- González, P. J., M. Bagnardi, A. J. Hooper, Y. Larsen, P. Marinkovic, S. V. Samsonov, and T. J. Wright (2015), The 2014–2015 eruption of Fogo volcano: Geodetic modeling of Sentinel-1 TOPS interferometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *42*, 9239–9246, doi:10.1002/2015GL066003.
- Harris, A. J. L., J. Dehn, and S. Calvari (2007), Lava effusion rate definition, measurement and operational requirements: A review, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *70*, 1–22, doi:10.1007/s00445-007-0120-y.
- Kubanek, J., J. A. Richardson, S. J. Charbonnier, and L. J. Connor (2015), Lava flow mapping and volume calculations for the 2012–2013 Tolbachik, Kamchatka, fissure eruption using bistatic TanDEM-X InSAR, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *77*(12), 1–13.
- List, F. K., J. Levenhagen, K. Munier, and B. Meissner (2006), *Cabo Verde-Fogo Geology*, GEOMAPS GIS + Remote Sensing C. Munier, Berlin, Germany.
- Lu, Z., E. Fielding, M. Patrick, and C. Trautwein (2003), Estimating lava volume by precision combination of multiple baseline spaceborne and airborne interferometric synthetic aperture radar: The 1997 eruption of Okmok volcano, Alaska, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *41*(6), 1428–1436, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2003.811553.
- Martino, M., Scifoni, S., Marsella, M., D'aranno, P. J. V., Napoleoni, Q., and Coltelli, M. (2015), June. A multi-sensor approach for monitoring an active volcanic area: The 2011–2014 eruptive phase of Mount Etna. In Environment and Electrical Engineering (EEEIC), 2015 IEEE 15th International Conference on (pp. 1516–1521). IEEE.
- Oh, J., and C. Lee (2014), Automated bias-compensation of rational polynomial coefficients of high resolution satellite imagery based on topographic maps, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, *100*, 12–22.
- Pinel, V., M. P. Poland, and A. Hooper (2014), Volcanology: Lessons learned from synthetic aperture radar imagery, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *289*, 81–113.
- Poland, M. P. (2014), Time-averaged discharge rate of subaerial lava at Kilauea Volcano, Hawai'i, measured from TanDEM-X interferometry: Implications for magma supply and storage during 2011–2013, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, *119*, 5464–5481, doi:10.1002/2014JB011132.
- Richter, N., M. Favalli, E. de Zeeuw-van Dalsen, A. Fornaciai, R. M. D. S. Fernandes, N. Perez Rodriguez, J. Levy, S. S. Victória, and T. R. Walter (2016), Lava flow hazard at Fogo Volcano, Cape Verde, before and after the 2014–2015 eruption, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/nhess-2016-81.
- Rowland, S., A. Harris, M. Wooster, F. Amelung, H. Garbeil, L. Wilson, and P. Mouginiis-Mark (2003), Volumetric characteristics of lava flows from interferometric radar and multispectral satellite data: The 1995 Fernandina and 1998 Cerro Azul eruptions in the western Galapagos, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *65*(5), 311–330, doi:10.1007/s00445-002-0262-x.
- Smith, W., and P. Wessel (1990), Gridding with continuous curvature splines in tension, *Geophysics*, *55*(3), 293–305.



- Stevens, N., G. Wadge, and J. Murray (1999), Lava flow volume and morphology from digitised contour maps: A case study at Mount Etna, Sicily, *Geomorphology*, 28(3–4), 251–261, doi:10.1016/S0169-555X(98)00115-9.
- Wolfe, E. W., M. O. Garcia, D. B. Jackson, R. Y. Koyanagi, C. A. Neal, and A. T. Okamura (1987), The Puu Oo eruption of Kilauea Volcano, Episodes 1–20, January 3, 1983, to June 8, 1984, *Volcanism in Hawaii, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.*, 1350, edited by R. W. Decker, T. L. Wright, and P. H. Stauffer, pp. 471–508.
- Zhou, Y., B. Parsons, J. R. Elliott, I. Barisin, and R. T. Walker (2015), Assessing the ability of Pleiades stereo imagery to determine height changes in earthquakes: A case study for the El Mayor-Cucapah epicentral area, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 120, 8793–8808, doi:10.1002/2015JB012358.